

GAP CONJECTURE FOR 3-DIMENSIONAL CANONICAL THRESHOLDS

YURI PROKHOROV

ABSTRACT. We prove that the interval $(5/6, 1)$ contains no 3-dimensional canonical thresholds.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(X \ni P)$ be a three-dimensional canonical singularity and let $S \subset X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor. The *canonical threshold* of the pair (X, S) is

$$\text{ct}(X, S) := \sup\{c \mid \text{the pair } (X, cS) \text{ is canonical}\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\text{ct}(X, S)$ is rational and non-negative. Moreover, if S is effective and integral, then $\text{ct}(X, S) \in [0, 1]$. Define the subset $\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{can}} \subset [0, 1]$ as follows

$$\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{can}} := \{\text{ct}(X, S) \mid \dim X = n, S \text{ is integral and effective}\}.$$

The following conjecture is an analog of corresponding conjectures for log canonical thresholds and minimal discrepancies, see [Sho88], [Kol92], [Kol97], [MP04], [Kol08].

Conjecture 1.1. *The set $\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{can}}$ satisfies the ascending chain condition.*

The conjecture is interesting for applications to birational geometry, see, e.g., [Cor95]. It was shown in [BS06] that much more general form of 1.1 follows from ACC for minimal log discrepancies and weak Borisov-Alexeev conjecture. The important particular case of 1.1 is the following

Conjecture 1.2 (cf. [Kol08]). $\epsilon_n^{\text{can}} := 1 - \sup(\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{can}} \setminus \{1\}) > 0$.

The aim of this note is to prove Conjecture 1.2 for $n = 3$ in a precise form:

Theorem 1.3. $\epsilon_3^{\text{can}} = 1/6$.

An analog of this theorem for log canonical thresholds was proved by J. Kollár [Kol94]: $\epsilon_3^{\text{lc}} = 1/42$.

Note that replacing $(X \ni P)$ with its terminal $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial modification we may assume that $(X \ni P)$ is terminal. Thus the following is a stronger form of Theorem 1.3:

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14B05, 14J17, 14E30, 32S25.

The author was partially supported by RFBR, Nos. 08-01-00395-a and 06-01-72017-MHTI-a.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $(X \ni P)$ be a three-dimensional terminal singularity and let $S \subset X$ be an (integral) effective Weil $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor such that the pair (X, S) is not canonical. Then $\text{ct}(X, S) \leq 5/6$. Moreover, if $(X \ni P)$ is singular, then $\text{ct}(X, S) \leq 4/5$.*

The proof is rather standard. We use the classification of terminal singularities and weighted blowups techniques, cf. [Kaw92], [Kol94], [Mar96].

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation. For a polynomial ϕ , $\text{ord}_0 \phi$ denotes the order of vanishing of ϕ at 0 and ϕ_d is the homogeneous component of degree d .

Throughout this paper we let $(X \ni P)$ be the germ of a three-dimensional terminal singularity and let $S \subset X$ be an effective Weil $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor such that the pair (X, S) is not canonical. Put $c := \text{ct}(X, S) > 0$. Since (X, S) is not canonical, $c < 1$.

We work over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 2.2. *In the above notation the singularity $(S \ni P)$ is not Du Val.*

Proof. This is well-known, see e.g. [Rei80, Th. 2.6]. $\square$

2.3. We use the techniques of weighted blowups. For definitions and basic properties we refer, for example, to [Mar96], [Rei87]. By fixing coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n$ we regard the affine space $\mathbb{C}^n$ as a toric variety. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ be a weight (a primitive lattice vector in the positive octant) and let $\sigma_\alpha: \mathbb{C}_\alpha^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be the weighted blowup with weight α (α -blowup). The exceptional divisor E_α is irreducible and determines a discrete valuation v_α of the function field $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ such that $v_\alpha(x_i) = \alpha_i$.

2.4. Now let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a hypersurface given by the equation $\phi = 0$ and let $X_\alpha \subset \mathbb{C}_\alpha^n$ be its proper transform. Fix an irreducible component G of $E_\alpha \cap X_\alpha$ such that X_α is smooth at the generic point of G . Let v_G be the corresponding discrete valuation of $\mathbb{C}(X)$. Write

$$E_\alpha|_{X_\alpha} = m_G G + (\text{other components}).$$

Assume that $d_G = 1$ and G is not a toric subvariety in $\mathbb{C}_\alpha^n$. Then the discrepancy of G with respect to K_X is computed by the formula

$$a(G, K_X) = |\alpha| - 1 - v_\alpha(\phi), \quad |\alpha| = \sum \alpha_i,$$

see [Mar96]. Let $S \subset X$ be a Cartier divisor and let ψ be a local defining equation of S in $\mathcal{O}_{0,X}$. Then $v_G(\psi) = v_\alpha(\psi)$ and the discrepancy of G with respect to $K_X + cS$ is computed by the formula

$$a(G, K_X + cS) = a(G, K_X) - cv_G(\psi) = |\alpha| - 1 - v_\alpha(\phi) - cv_\alpha(\psi).$$

Therefore,

$$c \leq a(G, K_X)/v_\alpha(\psi) = (|\alpha| - 1 - v_\alpha(\phi))/v_\alpha(\psi).$$

Definition 2.5 (cf. [Mar96]). A weight α is said to be *admissible* if $E_\alpha \cap X_\alpha$ contains at least one reduced non-toric component.

3. GORENSTEIN CASE

In this section we consider the case where $(X \ni P)$ is either smooth or an index one singularity.

Lemma 3.1. *If $(X \ni P)$ is smooth, then $c \leq 5/6$.*

Proof. Let $c > 5/6$. We may assume that $X = \mathbb{C}^3$. Let $\psi(x, y, z) = 0$ be an equation of S . Consider a weighted blowup $\sigma_\alpha: \mathbb{C}_\alpha^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ with a suitable weight α . Let E_α be the exceptional divisor. Recall that $(S \ni P)$ is not Du Val. Up to analytic coordinate change there are the following cases (cf. [KM98, 4.25]):

3.2. Case $\text{ord}_0 \psi \geq 3$. Take $\alpha = (1, 1, 1)$ (usual blowup of 0). Then $a(E_\alpha, K_X) = 2$, $v_\alpha(\psi) = \text{ord}_0 \psi \geq 3$. Hence $c \leq a(E_\alpha, K_X)/v_\alpha(\psi) \leq 2/3$, a contradiction.

3.3. Case $\psi = x^2 + \eta(y, z)$, where $\text{ord}_0 \eta \geq 4$. Take $\alpha = (2, 1, 1)$. Then $a(E_\alpha, K_X) = 3$, $v_\alpha(\psi) = 4$. Hence $c \leq a(E_\alpha, K_X)/v_\alpha(\psi) \leq 3/4$, a contradiction.

3.4. Case $\psi = x^2 + y^3 + \eta(y, z)$, where $\text{ord}_0 \eta \geq 4$. Here η contains no terms yz^l , $l \leq 3$ and z^l , $l \leq 5$ (see, e.g., [KM98, 4.25]). Take $\alpha = (3, 2, 1)$. Then $a(E_\alpha, K_X) = 5$, $v_\alpha(\psi) = 6$. Hence $c \leq a(E_\alpha, K_X)/v_\alpha(\psi) = 5/6$, a contradiction.

□

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that $(X \ni P)$ is a Gorenstein terminal singularity and $(X \ni P)$ is not smooth. Then $c \leq 4/5$.*

Proof. Let $c > 4/5$. We may assume that X is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^4$ (it is an isolated cDV-singularity [Rei80]). Let $\phi(x, y, z, t) = 0$ be the equation of X . Since $(X \ni P)$ is a cDV-singularity, $\text{ord}_0 \phi = 2$. According to [Mar96], in a suitable coordinate system (x, y, z, t) , there is an admissible weighted blowup $\sigma_\alpha: \mathbb{C}_\alpha^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4$ such that at least for one component G of $E_\alpha \cap X_\alpha$ we have $a(G, K_X) = 1$. Then $c \leq 1/v_\alpha(\psi)$, so $v_\alpha(\psi) = 1$. This means, in particular, that $\text{ord}_0 \psi = 1$. Up to coordinate change we may assume that $\psi = t$. Write

$$\phi = \eta(x, y, z) + t\zeta(x, y, z, t).$$

Then S is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}_{x,y,z}^3$ given by $\eta(x, y, z) = 0$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.1, using Morse Lemma we get the following cases:

3.6. Case $\text{ord}_0 \eta \geq 3$. Take $\alpha = (1, 1, 1, 2)$. By the terminality condition [Rei87, Th. 4.6], we have $4 = v_\alpha(xyzt) - 1 > v_\alpha(\phi)$. Hence, $v_\alpha(\eta) \leq 3$ and $\eta_3 \neq 0$. We claim that α is admissible whenever η_3 is not a cube of a linear form. Indeed, in the affine chart $U_x := \{x \neq 0\}$ the map σ_α^{-1} is given by

$$(3.7) \quad x \mapsto x', \quad y \mapsto y'x', \quad z \mapsto z'x', \quad t \mapsto t'x'^2.$$

First we assume that ζ contains the term x . After the coordinate change $x \mapsto \zeta(x, y, z, t)$ we obtain

$$\phi = \eta(x, y, z) + tx.$$

Using (3.7) we see that $E_\alpha \cap X_\alpha$ is given in $\sigma_\alpha^{-1}(U_x) \simeq \mathbb{C}^4$ by

$$x' = \eta_3(1, y', z') + t' = 0.$$

Hence α is admissible, i.e., $E_\alpha \cap X_\alpha$ has a reduced non-toric component G . Then $a(G, K_X) = 1$, $v_G(\psi) = 2$ and $c \leq a(G, K_X)/v_G(\psi) = 1/2$, a contradiction.

Thus by symmetry we may assume that ζ contains no terms x, y, z . Since $\text{ord}_0 \phi = 2$, ζ contains t . So,

$$\phi = \eta(x, y, z) + t^2 + t\xi(x, y, z, t), \quad \text{ord}_0 \xi \geq 2.$$

As above, $E_\alpha \cap X_\alpha$ is given in $\mathbb{C}^4$ by $x' = \eta_3(1, y', z') = 0$. If η_3 is not a cube of a linear form, then $E_\alpha \cap X_\alpha$ has a reduced non-toric component G . Then, as above, $c \leq 1/2$, a contradiction.

Consider the case where η_3 is a cube of a linear form. Then we may assume that $\eta_3(x, y, z) = y^3$, so

$$\phi = y^3 + \eta^\bullet(x, y, z) + t^2 + t\xi(x, y, z, t), \quad \text{ord}_0 \xi \geq 2, \quad \text{ord}_0 \eta^\bullet \geq 4.$$

Put $\alpha' = (2, 2, 2, 3)$. Again, in the affine chart $U_x := \{x \neq 0\}$ the map $\sigma_{\alpha'}^{-1}$ is given by $x \mapsto x'^2$, $y \mapsto y'x'^2$, $z \mapsto z'x'^2$, $t \mapsto t'x'^3$, where $\sigma_{\alpha'}^{-1}(U_x) \simeq \mathbb{C}^4/\mu_2(1, 0, 0, 1)$ and

$$E_{\alpha'} \cap X_{\alpha'} \cap \sigma_{\alpha'}^{-1}(U_x) = \{x' = 0, y'^3 + t'^2 = 0\}.$$

Thus α' is admissible and for some component G' of $X_{\alpha'} \cap E_{\alpha'}$ we have $a(G', K_X) = 2$, $v_{G'}(\psi) = 3$, $c \leq 2/3$, a contradiction.

3.8. Case $\eta = x^2 + \xi(y, z)$, where $\text{ord}_0 \xi \geq 4$. By Morse Lemma we may assume that ζ does not depend on x . Write $\zeta_1 = \delta_1 y + \delta_2 z + \delta_3 t$, $\delta_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Take $\alpha = (2, 1, 1, 3)$. In the affine chart $U_y := \{y \neq 0\}$ the map σ_α^{-1} is given by $x \mapsto x'y'^2$, $y \mapsto y'$, $z \mapsto z'y'$, $t \mapsto t'y'^3$ and

$$E_\alpha \cap X_\alpha \cap \sigma_\alpha^{-1}(U_y) = \{y' = 0, x'^2 + \xi_4(1, z') + \delta_1 t' + \delta_2 t' z' = 0\}.$$

If either $\delta_1 \neq 0$ or $\delta_2 \neq 0$ or $\xi_4 \neq 0$, then $E_\alpha \cap X_\alpha$ is reduced (at least over U_y). Hence, α is admissible and for some component G of $E_\alpha \cap X_\alpha$ we have

$c \leq a(G, K_X)/v_G(\psi) = 2/3$, a contradiction. Thus $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$ and $\xi_4 = 0$. Then we can write

$$\phi = x^2 + \xi(y, z) + \delta_3 t^2 + t\zeta^\bullet(y, z, t), \quad \text{ord}_0 \xi \geq 5, \quad \text{ord}_0 \zeta^\bullet \geq 2.$$

Take $\alpha' = (2, 1, 1, 2)$. In the affine chart $U_y := \{y \neq 0\}$ the map $\sigma_{\alpha'}^{-1}$ is given by $x \mapsto x'y'^2$, $y \mapsto y'$, $z \mapsto z'y'$, $t \mapsto t'y'^2$ and

$$E_{\alpha'} \cap X_{\alpha'} \cap \sigma_{\alpha'}^{-1}(U_y) = \{y' = 0, x'^2 + \delta_3 t'^2 + t\lambda(1, z') = 0\},$$

where λ is the degree 2 homogeneous part of $\zeta(y, z, 0)$. If $\delta_3 \neq 0$ or $\lambda \neq 0$, as above, α' is admissible and $c \leq 1/2$, a contradiction. Thus $\delta_3 = 0$, $\lambda = 0$, and

$$\phi = x^2 + \xi(y, z) + \delta t^3 + t\zeta^\circ(y, z, t), \quad \delta \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \text{ord}_0 \xi \geq 5, \quad \text{ord}_0 \zeta^\circ \geq 3.$$

Applying the terminality condition [Rei87, Th. 4.6] with weight $(2, 1, 1, 1)$ we get that $\delta \neq 0$.

Take $\alpha'' = (3, 1, 1, 2)$. As above we get that α'' is admissible and then $c \leq 1/2$, a contradiction.

3.9. Case $\eta = x^2 + y^3 + \xi(y, z)$, where $\text{ord}_0 \xi \geq 4$. Here ξ contains no terms yz^l , $l \leq 3$ and z^l , $l \leq 5$ (see, e.g., [KM98, 4.25]). Write $\zeta_1 = cz + \ell(x, y, t)$ and $\xi = \xi_{(6)} + \xi_{(7)} + \dots$, where $\xi_{(d)}$ is the degree d weighted homogeneous part of ξ with respect to $\text{wt}(y, z) = (2, 1)$. Here $\xi_{(6)}$ is a linear combination of z^6 , yz^4 , y^2z^2 . Take $\alpha = (3, 2, 1, 5)$. In the affine chart $U_z := \{z \neq 0\}$ the map σ_α^{-1} is given by $x \mapsto x'z'^3$, $y \mapsto y'z'^2$, $z \mapsto z'$, $t \mapsto t'z'^5$ and

$$E_\alpha \cap X_\alpha \cap \sigma_\alpha^{-1}(U_z) = \{z' = 0, x'^2 + y'^3 + \xi_{(6)}(y', 1) + \delta t' = 0\},$$

where δ is a constant and $\xi_{(6)}(y', 1)$ contains no y'^3 . Hence α is admissible, i.e., $E_\alpha \cap X_\alpha$ has a reduced non-toric component G . Then $a(G, K_X) = 4$, $v_G(\psi) = 5$, and $c \leq a(G, K_X)/v_G(\psi) \leq 4/5$, a contradiction.

□

The following examples show that bounds $\text{ct}(X, S) \leq 5/6$ and $\leq 4/5$ in Theorem 1.4 are sharp.

Example 3.10. Let $X = \mathbb{C}^3$ and let $S = S^d$ is given by $x^2 + y^3 + z^d$, $d \geq 6$. Then $\text{ct}(\mathbb{C}^3, S^d) = 5/6$. We prove this by descending induction on $\lfloor d/6 \rfloor$. Take $\alpha = (3, 2, 1)$ and consider the α -blowup $\sigma_\alpha: \mathbb{C}_\alpha^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$. Let $S_\alpha \subset X_\alpha$ be the proper transform of S . We have $a(E_\alpha, K_X) = 5$ and $v_\alpha(\psi) = 6$. Hence, $\text{ct}(\mathbb{C}^3, S^d) \leq 5/6$. Further,

$$K_{\mathbb{C}_\alpha^3} + \frac{5}{6}S_\alpha = \sigma_\alpha^*(K_{\mathbb{C}^3} + \frac{5}{6}S).$$

Thus it is sufficient to show that $\text{ct}(X_\alpha, \frac{5}{6}S_\alpha)$ is canonical. We have three affine charts:

- $U_x := \{x \neq 0\}$. Here $\sigma_\alpha^{-1}: x \mapsto x'^3, y \mapsto y'x'^2, z \mapsto z'x', S_\alpha$ is given in $\sigma_\alpha^{-1}(U_x) \simeq \mathbb{C}^3/\mu_3(-1, 2, 1)$ by the equation $1 + y'^3 + z'^d x'^{d-6} = 0$. Hence, in this chart, S_α is smooth and does not pass through a (unique) singular point of $\sigma_\alpha^{-1}(U_x)$.
- $U_y := \{y \neq 0\}$. Here $\sigma_\alpha^{-1}: x \mapsto x'y'^3, y \mapsto y'^2, z \mapsto z'y', S_\alpha$ is given in $\sigma_\alpha^{-1}(U_y) \simeq \mathbb{C}^3/\mu_2(3, -1, 1)$ by the equation $x'^2 + 1 + z'^d y'^{d-6} = 0$. Again, in this chart, S_α is smooth and does not pass through a (unique) singular point of $\sigma_\alpha^{-1}(U_y)$.
- $U_z := \{z \neq 0\}$. Here $\sigma_\alpha^{-1}: x \mapsto x'z'^3, y \mapsto y'z'^2, z \mapsto z', S_\alpha$ is given in $\sigma_\alpha^{-1}(U_z) \simeq \mathbb{C}^3$ by the equation $x'^2 + y'^3 + z'^{d-6} = 0$. In this chart, $(X_\alpha, S_\alpha) \simeq (\mathbb{C}^3, S^{d-6})$.

Thus X_α has only terminal singularities, S_α does not pass through any singular point of X_α , and the pair (X_α, S_α) is terminal in charts U_x and U_y . In the chart U_z the pair by induction $(X_\alpha, \frac{5}{6}S_\alpha)$ is canonical (moreover, (X_α, S_α) is canonical if $d \leq 11$). Therefore, $\text{ct}(X, S) = 5/6$.

Example 3.11. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^4$ is given by $x^2 + y^3 + z^d + tz = 0$, $d \geq 7$ and let S cut out by $t = 0$. Take $\alpha = (3, 2, 1, 5)$ and consider the α -blowup $\sigma_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow X$. Let $S_\alpha \subset X_\alpha$ be the proper transform of S . We see below that α is admissible. Moreover, the exceptional divisor $G := E_\alpha \cap X_\alpha$ is reduced and irreducible. We have four charts:

- $U_x := \{x \neq 0\}$. Here $\sigma_\alpha^{-1}: x \mapsto x^3, y \mapsto yx^2, z \mapsto zx, t \mapsto tx^5$, X_α is given in $\sigma_\alpha^{-1}(U_x) \simeq \mathbb{C}^4/\mu_3(-1, 2, 1, 5)$ by the equation $1 + y^3 + z^d x^{d-6} + tz = 0$ and S_α by two equations $x = 1 + y^3 + tz = 0$. Hence, in this chart, both X_α and S_α are smooth.
- $U_y := \{y \neq 0\}$. Here $\sigma_\alpha^{-1}: x \mapsto xy^3, y \mapsto y^2, z \mapsto zy, t \mapsto ty^5$, $\sigma_\alpha^{-1}(U_y) \simeq \mathbb{C}^4/\mu_2(3, -1, 1, 5)$, $X_\alpha = \{x^2 + 1 + z^d y^{d-6} + tz = 0\}$, and $S_\alpha = \{y = x^2 + 1 + tz = 0\}$. As above, both X_α and S_α are smooth in this chart.
- $U_z := \{z \neq 0\}$. Here $\sigma_\alpha^{-1}: x \mapsto xz^3, y \mapsto yz^2, z \mapsto z, t \mapsto tz^5$, $\sigma_\alpha^{-1}(U_z) \simeq \mathbb{C}^4$, $X_\alpha = \{x^2 + y^3 + z^{d-6} + t = 0\}$, and $S_\alpha = \{z = x^2 + y^3 + t = 0\}$. As above, both X_α and S_α are smooth in this chart.
- $U_t := \{t \neq 0\}$. Here $\sigma_\alpha^{-1}: x \mapsto xt^3, y \mapsto yt^2, z \mapsto zt, t \mapsto t^5$, $\sigma_\alpha^{-1}(U_t) \simeq \mathbb{C}^4/\mu_5(3, 2, 1, -1)$, $X_\alpha = \{x^2 + y^3 + z^d t^{d-6} + z = 0\}$, and $S_\alpha = \{t = x^2 + y^3 + z = 0\}$. The variety X_α has a unique singular point Q at the origin and this point is terminal of type $\frac{1}{5}(3, 2, -1)$ the surface S_α is smooth and does not pass through Q .

Thus we have $a(G, K_X) = 4$, $v_\alpha(\psi) = 5$, and $a(G, K_X + \frac{4}{5}S) = 0$. Therefore,

$$K_{X_\alpha} + \frac{4}{5}S_\alpha = \sigma_\alpha^*(K_X + \frac{4}{5}S).$$

Since the pair $K_{X_\alpha} + \frac{4}{5}S_\alpha$ is canonical, $\text{ct}(X, S) = 4/5$.

4. NON-GORENSTEIN CASE

Now we assume that $(X \ni P)$ is a (terminal) point of index $r > 1$. Let $\pi: (X^\# \ni P^\#) \rightarrow (X \ni P)$ be the index-one cover and let $S^\# := \pi^{-1}(S)$.

Lemma 4.1. *If $(X \ni P)$ is a cyclic quotient singularity, then $\text{ct}(X, S) \leq 1/2$.*

Proof. By our assumption we have $X \simeq \mathbb{C}^3/\mu_r(a, -a, 1)$ for some $r \geq 2$, $1 \leq a < r$, $\gcd(a, r) = 1$. Assume that $c = \text{ct}(X, S) > 1/2$. Let $\psi = 0$ be a defining equation of $S^\#$. Consider the weighted blowup $\sigma_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow X$ with weights $\alpha = \frac{1}{r}(a, r-a, 1)$. Then $a(E_\alpha, K_X) = 1/r$. Since $a(E_\alpha, K_X) - cv_\alpha(\psi) \geq 0$, we have $v_\alpha(\psi) \leq a(E_\alpha, K_X)/c < 2a(E_\alpha, K_X) = 2/r$ and so $v_\alpha(\psi) = 1/r$. Thus we may assume that ψ contains x_3 (if $a \equiv \pm 1$ we possibly have to permute coordinates). Then $S^\# \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$ is smooth and $S \simeq \mathbb{C}^2/\mu_r(a, -a)$, i.e., S is Du Val of type A_{r-1} . $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *If $(X \ni P)$ is a terminal singularity of index $r > 1$ and $\text{ct}(X, S) > 1/2$, then $K_X + S \sim 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 $(X \ni P)$ is not a cyclic quotient singularity. There is an analytic μ_r -equivariant embedding $(X^\#, P^\#) \subset (\mathbb{C}^4, 0)$. Let (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) be coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^4$, let $\phi = 0$ be an equation of $X^\#$, and let $\psi = 0$ be an equation of $S^\#$. We can take (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) and ϕ to be semi-invariants such that one of the following holds [Rei87]:

- **Main series.** $\text{wt}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4; \phi) \equiv (a, -a, 1, 0; 0) \pmod{r}$, where $\gcd(a, r) = 1$.
- **Case $cAx/4$.** $r = 4$, $\text{wt}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4; \phi) \equiv (1, 3, 1, 2; 2) \pmod{4}$.

In both cases $\text{wt}(x_1x_2x_3x_4) - \text{wt } \phi \equiv \text{wt } x_3 \pmod{r}$. According to [Kaw92] there is a weight α such that for the corresponding α -blowup $\sigma_\alpha: X_\alpha \subset W \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{C}^4/\mu_r$ the exceptional divisor $E_\alpha \cap X_\alpha$ has a reduced component G of discrepancy $a(G, K_X) = 1/r$. Moreover, $r\alpha_i \equiv \text{wt } x_i \pmod{r}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Since $c > 1/2$, we have $1/r - cv_\alpha(\psi) \geq 0$, i.e., $rv_\alpha(\psi) < 2$, so $rv_\alpha(\psi) = 1$. In particular, $\text{wt } \psi \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$.

Let ω be a section of $\mathcal{O}_X(-K_X)$. Then ω can be written as

$$\omega = \lambda(\partial\phi/\partial x_4)(dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3)^{-1},$$

where λ is a semi-invariant function with

$$\text{wt } \lambda - \text{wt}(x_1x_2x_3x_4) + \text{wt } \phi \equiv \text{wt } \omega \equiv 0 \pmod{r}.$$

Thus, $\text{wt } \psi \equiv \text{wt } \lambda \pmod{r}$. Hence, $S \sim -K_X$. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *If $(X \ni P)$ is a terminal singularity of index $r > 1$, then $c \leq 4/5$.*

Proof. Since π is étale in codimension one, we have $K_{X^\sharp} + cS^\sharp = \pi^*(K_X + cS)$. Hence the pair $(X^\sharp, cS^\sharp)$ is canonical (see, e.g., [Kol97, 3.16.1]). Assume that $c > 4/5$. By Lemma 4.1 the point $(X^\sharp \ni P^\sharp)$ is singular. Then by Lemma 3.5 the pair $(X^\sharp, S^\sharp)$ is canonical. Therefore, $(S^\sharp \ni P^\sharp)$ is a Du Val singularity. Then the singularity $(S \ni P) = (S^\sharp \ni P^\sharp)/\mu_r$ is log terminal. On the other hand, by Lemma 4.2 the divisor K_S is Cartier. Hence, $(S \ni P)$ is Du Val, a contradiction. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [BS06] C. Birkar, V. V. Shokurov. Mld's vs thresholds and flips. E-print math.AG/0609539.
- [Cor95] A. Corti. Factoring birational maps of threefolds after Sarkisov. *J. Algebr. Geom.*, 4(2):223–254, 1995.
- [Kaw92] Y. Kawamata. The minimal discrepancy coefficients of terminal singularities in dimension three. Appendix to V.V. Shokurov's paper "3-fold log flips". *Russ. Acad. Sci., Izv., Math.*, 40(1):95–202, 1992.
- [KM98] J. Kollár and S. Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, volume 134 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original.
- [Kol92] J. Kollár, editor. *Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1992. Papers from the Second Summer Seminar on Algebraic Geometry held at the University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, August 1991, Astérisque No. 211 (1992).
- [Kol94] J. Kollár. Log surfaces of general type; some conjectures. In *Classification of algebraic varieties (L'Aquila, 1992)*, volume 162 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 261–275. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [Kol97] J. Kollár. Singularities of pairs. In *Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995*, volume 62 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 221–287. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [Kol08] J. Kollár. Which powers of holomorphic functions are integrable? Preprint, arXiv.org:0805.0756, 2008.
- [Mar96] D. Markushevich. Minimal discrepancy for a terminal cDV singularity is 1. *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, 3(2):445–456, 1996.
- [MP04] J. McKernan and Y. Prokhorov. Threefold thresholds. *Manuscripta Math.*, 114(3):281–304, 2004.
- [Rei80] M. Reid. Canonical 3-folds. In *Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d'Angers, Juillet 1979/Algebraic Geometry, Angers, 1979*, pages 273–310. Sijthoff & Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn, 1980.
- [Rei87] M. Reid. Young person's guide to canonical singularities. In *Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985)*, volume 46 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 345–414. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [Sho88] V. V. Shokurov. Problems about Fano varieties. In *Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties, Open Problems*, pages 30–32, Katata, 1988.

YURI PROKHOROV, DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER ALGEBRA, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, MOSCOW STATE LOMONOSOV UNIVERSITY, VOROBIEVY GORY, MOSCOW, 119 899, RUSSIA

E-mail address: `prokhoro@mech.math.msu.su`